



"Integrating energy conservation practices towards sustainable agriculture: the case of small farms in India"

April 2010

International Energy Initiative, 80-B Spencer Road, 2nd Cross, Fraser Town, Bangalore 560 005 India

Telephones: 91 80 2555 3563 E-mail: <u>ieiblr@iei-asia.org</u> Web-site: <u>www.iei-asia.org</u>

Abstract

The project objective was to demonstrate improvement in the efficiency of energy-resource use in agriculture, and thereby conservation of resources and sustainable improvement in livelihoods, integrating benefits for the rural folk, the infrastructure utilities, and the environment. This Report describes the project; it was selected for funding by the Wuppertal Institute at the through the 5th Round of their Sustainable Energy Project Support Programme.

The planned demonstration of improved farming practices was implemented at a sample of 50 farms located in the Tumakooru and rural Bengalooru (now called Ramanagara) districts of Karnataka state. The main activities during the year-long project included: assessment of baseline resource use, installation and operation of efficient resource-use systems, and monitoring and assessment of post-efficiency-improvement resource-use.

The assessment of baseline cropping patterns and fertilizer use were on the basis of answers to questionnaires. However, to measure the electricity used for pumping water for irrigation, energy meters were installed, while the water pumped was estimated by the discharge rate method. Once the baseline resource use was evaluated, a series of resource-efficiency improvements was implemented. These included efficient water-pumping, efficient water application through micro-irrigation (drip and sprinkler) systems, and cost-effective and environmentally-beneficial soil enrichment. For energy-efficiency, all the existing pumps were replaced with new efficiency-certified multi-stage submersible pumps, while those without any irrigation were provided with shared access to irrigation wells with efficient pumps. For water-conservation, each farm was provided with sprinkler and/or drip systems for a specified acreage. For natural soil-enrichment, three options – rotating the usual crops with a leguminous crop, inter-cropping of a plantation with leguminous plants, and generation of natural manure, were adopted.

Post-installation, resource-use was re-assessed using the same methods as for the baseline. Noteworthy aspects include: the reduction of electricity use by 41.5% for the pre-irrigation farms, and 31.4% for the sample (because of additional provision of irrigation to un-irrigated farms), and reduction of water use by 60% at the fields provided with micro-irrigation, and 22% for the sample as a whole. Important conclusions were that improved efficiency can provide for extension of irrigation and increased output without increasing, and even reducing, the total water/energy requirement in the locality, and shared-access enables greater equity and improved livelihoods. However, successful functioning of efficient improvements requires that conditions -- such as appropriate training of the farmers and local mechanics – be fulfilled.

Keywords: micro-irrigation, farm energy assessment, energy-efficient farming practices, sustainable farming, shared water access

Contents

| Ex | ecutive Summary | y | V | |
|------------|---|---|----|--|
| 1. | Introduction | | 1 | |
| | 1.1 Backgro | und and problems addressed | 1 | |
| | 1.2 Project | objective and implementation outline | 3 | |
| 2. | Implementation | n activities | 4 | |
| | | sment of baseline resource use | 4 | |
| | 2.1.1 | Cropping pattern observed | 5 | |
| | 2.1.2 | Electricity use metered | 6 | |
| | 2.1.3 | Water use measured//reported | 7 | |
| | 2.1.4 | Fertilizer use reported | 7 | |
| | 2.2 Imple | ementation of efficient systems | 8 | |
| | 2.2.1 | Provision of access to efficient water pumping | 8 | |
| | | 2.2.1.1 Pump-replacement | 9 | |
| | | 2.2.1.2 Shared access to first-time irrigation | 13 | |
| | 2.2.2 | Installation of efficient water-application systems | 16 | |
| | | 2.2.2.1 Sprinkler systems | 16 | |
| | | 2.2.2.2 Drip systems | 22 | |
| | 2.2.3 | Natural soil-enrichment | 32 | |
| | 2.3 Mon | itoring of post-implementation resource use | 35 | |
| 3. | Results and conclusions | | | |
| | 3.1 Immedia | ate results - post-improvement resource use | 37 | |
| | 3.1.1 | Electricity use metered | 37 | |
| | 3.1.2 | Water use measured/reported | 40 | |
| | 3.1.3 | Fertilizer use reported | 44 | |
| | 3.1.4 | Environmental impacts estimated | 46 | |
| | 3.2 Lessons learnt from the implementation experience | | | |
| | 3.3 Project impacts summarised | | | |
| | 3.4 Propaga | tion | 51 | |
| | | | | |
| An | inexes: | | | |
| 1. | Implementation | | 53 | |
| 2. | | ns selected for the project | 54 | |
| <i>3</i> . | ` | , • | 55 | |
| | _ | at the sample farms | 63 | |
| 5. | | | | |
| | - components, d | esign, and installation | 64 | |

List of tables within in the text

| 1. | Electrical details of the submersible pumps used with energy star labelling | | | | |
|--------|--|-------|--|--|--|
| 2. | Individual irrigation access – Farms at which efficient pumps were instal | led | | | |
| | in existing wells | 11 | | | |
| 3. | Farms with first-time irrigation – shared access to a new bore-well and | | | | |
| | efficient pump for each cluster | 14 | | | |
| 4. | Efficient micro-irrigation systems installed through the project | 18 | | | |
| 5. | Comparison between the metered electricity use for irrigation before and | | | | |
| | pump-replacement (for the 33 irrigated farms at the baseline) | 38 | | | |
| 6. | Project-facilitated immediate annual reduction of electricity requirement | | | | |
| 7. | Comparison between the measured water use for irrigation before and after | | | | |
| | installation of micro-irrigation facilities (for selected fields of the 33 irrig | gated | | | |
| | farms at the baseline) | 41 | | | |
| 8. | Project-facilitated immediate annual reduction of water requirement | 44 | | | |
| 9. | Project facilitated immediate annual changes in fertilizer use | 45 | | | |
| 10. | Estimated CO ₂ emissions avoided directly through the project | 46 | | | |
| | | | | | |
| List o | f figures within the text | | | | |
| _ | | | | | |
| 1. | Integration of farmers' and utilities' interests in the electricity sector | _ | | | |
| _ | -> focus on moving to the first quadrant | 3 | | | |
| 2. | An electronic energy meter connected to a pump in a field | 7 | | | |
| 3a. | Removing the pump from an irrigation bore-well | 10 | | | |
| 3b. | One of the new energy-efficient (multi-stage submersible) pumps | 10 | | | |
| 4a. | Land preparation prior to sowing | 13 | | | |
| 4b. | Sowing of the new crop | 13 | | | |
| 5. | Drilling a well for shared irrigation access | 14 | | | |
| 6a. | Adjacent plots sharing irrigation facilities | | | | |
| | fields of finger millets and sorghum | 15 | | | |
| 6b. | Adjacent plots of aubergine, onion, lettuce and tomato | 15 | | | |
| 7. | Close view of a rotating sprinkler head | 17 | | | |
| 8. | Sprinklers in use for land preparation and crop irrigation: | | | | |
| 8a. | Pre-sowing land preparation at one plot | 22 | | | |
| 8b. | Sprinklers watering finger millets | 22 | | | |
| 9. | Schematic representation of a (generic) drip system | 23 | | | |
| 10. | Steps in the installation of a drip irrigation system: | | | | |
| 10a. | Trench dug for the main line | 24 | | | |
| 10b. | Main pipeline being laid | 24 | | | |
| 10c. | Fixing a ball valve | 24 | | | |
| 10d. | Sub-mains fixed | 25 | | | |
| 10e. | Laterals being drawn | 25 | | | |
| 10f. | The layout being completed | 25 | | | |
| 11. | Drip main lines and laterals at a variety of fields: | | | | |

| 11a. | At an areca-nut plantation | 26 |
|------|---|----|
| 11b. | At a sapodilla plantation | 26 |
| 11c. | At a coconut plantation | 27 |
| 11d. | At a banana plantation | 27 |
| 12. | Laterals and emitters leading to various plants: | |
| 12a. | A micro-tube emitter watering the pit for a new sapling | 28 |
| 12b. | Micro-tubes feeding a guava tree | 28 |
| 12c. | Micro-tubes feeding a sapodilla tree | 29 |
| 12d. | Micro-tubes feeding a young coconut tree | 29 |
| 12e. | Laterals leading to rows of tomatoes | 30 |
| 12f. | "In-liners" leading to potato plants | 30 |
| 13. | Inter-cropping of tomato plants in a coconut plantation | 31 |
| 14. | Soil enrichment through composting and growing legumes: | |
| 14a. | Compost heaps generated in the fields | 33 |
| 14b. | Growing legumes – chick peas (or gram peas) | 33 |
| 14c. | Growing legumes – black-eyed peas (or cow peas) | 33 |
| 14d. | Inter-cropping with an additional leguminous crop to enrich the soil | 34 |
| 15. | Monitoring the resource use: | |
| 15a. | Recording electricity use at an energy meter installed in a pump-room | 36 |
| 15b. | Recording water discharge rates at drip emitters | 36 |
| | | |

For further details, contact:

International Energy Initiative – Asian Regional Initiative (IEI-Asia), 80-B Spencer Road, 2nd Cross, Fraser Town, Bangalore 560005, India

E-mail: <u>ieiblr@iei-asia.org</u> Web site: <u>www.iei-asia.org</u>